

## Town of Oneonta TOWN BOARD Regular Meeting August 13, 2025 07:00PM

The regular meeting of the Oneonta Town Board was held on August 13 2025, with the following members present:

Interim Superviso	or:	Brett H	lolleran	(BH)
Town Board Mer	mber:	Joseph	M. Camarata	(JMC)
Town Board Mer	mber:	Patricia	Riddell Kent	(PRK)
Town Board Mer	mber:	Kim Fie	rke	(KF)
Woishnis; Jeri Wa		e Webster; M	laria McMullen; Dar	ne Town; Sharon Corrado; Gordon Huckins; Aidan rius Palmer; Devin Lilly; Will Rivera; Theresa Cyzeski; ha Dave.
"Privilege of the floor"				
	erim Supervisor, agreed to	look into th		ext door to her own, and asked the board to take he recent passing of nuisance legislation, the town
Many residents and individ the Farmhouse property; s				e town, as well as, development that would change
A petition to encourage all at the Clerk's office.	ternate uses for the forme	er Farmhouse	e Restaurant was fil	led with the Town Clerk and is available for viewing
RESOLUTION 2025-0109	(07:50)			Motion by PRK, seconded by JMC;
Whereas the Town Board	votes to authorize Hender	rson auote:		
Whereas 2014 Internationa			conversion to front	t discharge only:
Whereas the cost will be \$		.,		
Now therefore be it resolv				
Whereupon the resolution	•	orded as fol	lows:	
Whereupon the resolution	was par to a vote and rec	AYE	NAY	
Kim Fierke	Board Member	X	IVAT	
Joseph M. Camarata	Board Member	_x_		
Patricia Riddell Kent	Board Member	X		
Brett D. Holleran	Interim Supervisor	X		
The foregoing resolution v				
VOTE AYES (4	-	, ,		MOTION CARRIED
RESOLUTION 2025-0110	(08:05)			Motion by JMC, seconded by BDH;
Whereas the Town Board	votes to set public heari	ng for 7onin	g Amendment An	plication, Martin Patton and Chris Maby, Emmons
Farms Farmhouse parcel, o		116 101 201111	g / interiornent / ipi	pireation, marein ratton and emis mass, eminors
Now therefore be it resolv				
		ardad as fal	lower	
Whereupon the resolution	i was put to a vote and rec			
Vina Fiaulta	Dagud Marshau	AYE	NAY	
Kim Fierke Joseph M. Camarata	Board Member Board Member	X		

	Board Member Interim Supervisor was thereupon declared duly 3) NAYS (1)	X_ y adopted.	_X	MOTION CARRIED
RESOLUTION 2025-0111	(08:13)			Motion by JMC, seconded by KF;
Whereas the Cooperstow Now therefore be it resol	n All-Star Village Water Syste	ems Improv	ements Project,	ith 6 NYCRR Part 617.6(b), SEQRA;; designated West End Water District #002;
Kim Fierke Joseph M. Camarata Patricia Riddell Kent Brett D. Holleran The foregoing resolution VOTE AYES (	Board Member Board Member Board Member Interim Supervisor was thereupon declared duly 4) AIF	AYEXXXX y adopted.	NAY	MOTION CARRIED
RESOLUTION 2025-0112	(08:15)			Motion by KF, seconded by JMC;
Whereas section 41-j allow Whereas based on the sal Whereas the estimated ac Now therefore be it resol Whereupon the resolution Kim Fierke Joseph M. Camarata Patricia Riddell Kent Brett D. Holleran	laries reported by the Town a dditional annual cost will be s ved; n was put to a vote and reco Board Member Board Member Board Member Interim Supervisor was thereupon declared duly	ional service and applicab \$1,518. rded as folk AYE X_ X_ X_ X_ X_	e credit for their ble billing rates f	f Oneonta; unused, unpaid sick leave at the time of retirement; or the New York State fiscal year;  MOTION CARRIED
RESOLUTION 2025-0113	(08:16)			Motion by JMC, seconded by KF;
Now therefore be it resolved. Whereupon the resolution Kim Fierke	n was put to a vote and reco Board Member	rded as follo AYE X	NAY	
Joseph M. Camarata Patricia Riddell Kent Brett D. Holleran The foregoing resolution VOTE AYES (	Board Member Board Member Interim Supervisor was thereupon declared duly (4) AIF	X X X y adopted.		MOTION CARRIED
	, Interim Supervisor, suggest reassessment occurred in 20		d consider the n	eed for a property revaluation of current town prop
RESOLUTION 2025-0114	(08:23)			Motion by JMC, seconded by BH;
Whereas the Town Board Now therefore be it resol	votes to enter into executiv	e session to	discuss personr	nel matters;

Whereupon the resolution was put to a vote and recorded as follows:

		AYE	NAY	
Kim Fierke	Board Member	X		
Joseph M. Camarata	Board Member	x		
Patricia Riddell Kent	Board Member	x		
Brett D. Holleran	Interim Supervisor	X		
The foregoing resolution v	vas thereupon declared d	uly adopted.		
VOTE AYES (4	4) AIF			MOTION CARRIED
RESOLUTION 2025-0115	(09:21)			Motion by JMC, seconded by KF;
Whereas the Town Board	votes to exit executive se	ssion;		
Now therefore be it resolv				
Whereupon the resolution	was put to a vote and red	corded as fol	lows:	
•	·	AYE	NAY	
Kim Fierke	Board Member	X		
Joseph M. Camarata	Board Member	X		
Patricia Riddell Kent	Board Member	X		
Brett D. Holleran	Interim Supervisor	X		
The foregoing resolution v		uly adopted.		
VOTE AYES (4	4) AIF			MOTION CARRIED
RESOLUTION 2025-0116	(09:22)			Motion by BH, seconded by KF;
Whereas the Town Board	votes to adjourn;			
Whereas the Town Board		s;		
Now therefore be it resolv	red;			
Whereupon the resolution	was put to a vote and red	corded as fol	lows:	
		AYE	NAY	
Kim Fierke	Board Member	X		
Joseph M. Camarata	Board Member	X		
Patricia Riddell Kent	Board Member	X		
Brett D. Holleran	Interim Supervisor	X		
The foregoing resolution v		uly adopted.		
VOTE AYES (4	4) AIF			MOTION CARRIED
Respectfully submitted,				
Ryan F. Pereira				

Oneonta Town Clerk

Page 3 of 7

**Town Board Members,** 

I'd like to share some thoughts and concerns about the data center proposal in an Ag/Res zone

While this project may have positive aspects for Oneonta, my concern is strictly the location proposed.

I am by no means anti-business, as I've had a business in the town for over 40 years and still do.

Having said that, county route 9 is an Agricultural/Residential zone that does not allow for industrial style business use.

There are plenty of locations suited for just such a business without encroaching into residential areas.

The Town Comprehensive plan was adopted by Town bd adopted and they committed to following it until a new plan was drawn up.

## in that plan:

- -the business/industrial zone was extended all the way own south side to the town line (it only went part way down prior to that) LOTS OF EMPTY BUILDINGS OUT THERE
- -Oneida St is an industrial zone
- -Industrial Park is set up for industry it's shovel ready for businesses

If the Town Bd. Approves the location of the data center in a Res/Ag zone, it sets a precedent for any business to go in residential zones. If another business comes along and is denied that business variance it sets the Town up for costly lawsuits.

Board members are elected to grow the Town responsibly WHILE protecting the taxpayers' and their properties in a variety of ways.

In short-if this project is approved in a residential zone - it's a free for all in any neighborhood.

And in that case, why bother to have planning and zoning boards.

Respectfully submitted,

Maria G McMullen

## Good evening.

As I walked into this room tonight, my eyes went straight to the certificate on the front door, the one from New York State declaring The Town of Oneonta a Pro-Housing Community. That's supposed to mean something. It's supposed to mean you stand for housing that's affordable, safe, and built with the people who live here in mind.

But this proposal, this push to rezone and greenlight a Stewart's gas station where the Emmons Farmhouse stands, tells a different story.

You're asking us to believe that putting a gas station at one of the most dangerous intersections in the area somehow makes our community stronger. You're asking us to accept the loss of green space and the destruction of a piece of our history in exchange for more traffic, more environmental risk, and more sprawl.

These are retirees who've given decades to this town. Educators who've taught our kids. Healthcare workers who've cared for our families. And yet, you're willing to cast all of that aside for the benefit of outside developers.

Let's be clear about what's at stake. This proposal increases traffic at an already dangerous intersection. It paves over green space and threatens our water. It strips away part of our history for a gas station and convenience store. And it feeds the kind of sprawl that chips away at what makes Oneonta, Oneonta.

We are told this is about "progress" but progress for who? Not for the people who live here. Not for the families struggling to find affordable housing. Not for the community that values its natural resources and green space. This is progress for outside developers and corporate profit.

If this is what passes for a Pro-Housing Community, then maybe the State should take that certificate back. Because it doesn't belong on the front door of a board that puts corporate convenience over neighborhood well-being.

We are not saying "no" to change. We're saying no to change that comes at the cost of our history, our safety, and our quality of life. There are better options, adaptive reuse of existing buildings, locally-owned businesses that reflect our values, and development that puts neighbors first. That's what real pro-housing leadership looks like.

We are submitting a petition. The voices of our neighbors are on record. And let me be clear, gone are the days of politics as usual. The people will hold you accountable for these decisions.

We will not let outside money decide the future of Oneonta. We will not let our history be erased for profit. And we will take back our neighborhoods.

You have a choice tonight. You can rubber-stamp a project that serves outside interests. Or you can reject this proposal and work with the community to find solutions that truly make Oneonta a Pro-Housing Community.

The choice tonight isn't just about zoning. It's about whether you stand with the people who live here or with those who only see Oneonta as a place to cash in.

Thank you.
Will Rivera
August 13, 2025

·····August 17, 2025; James Close

I am writing to object to the proposed re-zoning of the property formerly known as the Farmhouse Restaurant in Emmons at 5649 state Highway 7, which will be the subject of a public hearing by the Planning Board on Sept. 10'.

Zoning is the end product of careful study and deliberation, usually in the context of a comprehensive plan which considers and evaluates a smorgasbord of information that is eventually distilled into a zoning plan that reflects that careful study and deliberation; that plan, in turn, carefully balances existing land uses against future growth, giving due weight and consideration to the **needs**, **wants and rights of the community** - and, where appropriate, safeguarding the rights of residents to the peaceful enjoyment of their property by enacting guardrails against inappropriate land uses that threaten that very thing. For these reasons, zoning changes should never be considered lightly, and must **always** meet a bar of the highest order for approval, as they constitute an argument against the careful study and deliberation embodied and reflected in your existing zoning map.

The proposed amendment to the existing zoning of this property to allow the construction of a

gas station" is anothema to those principles, and should be rejected outright by the planning board. It is a totally inappropriate use of the property given its setting adjacent to the bucolic, elegant Emmons Farms property as well as the adjacent residential properties, and the construction for such a use will devalue those properties in more than a dollars-and-cents aspect. In short, the character of this hamlet will be changed - and not for the better. I will remind you that your job is not to grease the wheels of commerce, but to protect and enhance the quality of life for residents. A gas station at this intersection to I-88 - no matter how much the developer may drool and salivate over the location, oblivious to its setting and history - is a solid and emphatic "no".

By way of background, I grew up in Oneonta and maintain a residence less than two miles from the Farmhouse Restaurant property. I am also a former co-chair of the Comprehensive Plan Update Committee for the Town of Stillwater, Saratoga County, and hold a master's degree in regional planning from the University at Albany.

Please give these comments your full and thoughtful consideration in your deliberations on this application.

Abstract #	8	7/11/25-8/13/25				
		Friday - Wednesday				
General Checking						
<u>Fund</u>		<u>PrePaid</u>		To Be Paid		Total PrePaid/To Be Paid
General	1	\$ 5,750.00	\$	113,719.80	\$	119,469.20
Highway	3	\$ 108,056.13	\$	24,036.37	\$	132,092.50
St Lights #1	7	\$ -	\$	2,546.12	\$	2,546.12
St Lights #2	14	\$ -	\$	270.80	\$	270.80
·						
St Lights #3	15		\$	918.14	\$	918.14
St Lights #4	16	\$ -	\$	54.65	\$	54.65
St Lights #5	17	\$ -	\$	261.74	\$	261.74
Butler Creek-Blanchard Capital	28	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-
Butler Creek-Winney Hill Capital	29	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-
Fire Protection	25	\$ -	\$	477,000.00	\$	477,000.00
Subt			\$	618,807.62	\$	732,613.15
District Checking						
WESD	8	\$ -	\$	2,045.30	\$	2,045.30
WSSD	9	\$ -	\$	617.99	\$	617.99
SSD	10	\$ -	\$	1,139.95	\$	1,139.95
WWD	11	\$ -	\$	3,141.87	\$	3,141.87
PWD	12	\$ -	\$	18,078.63	\$	18,078.63
SSWD	21		\$	2,062.11	\$	2,062.11
District Sub			\$	27,085.85	\$	27,085.85
District suc	lotai	Ţ	۲	27,003.03	ڔ	27,003.03
Trust and Agency Checking						
T & A	99	\$ 559.24	\$	200.27	\$	759.51
		\$ 559.24	\$	200.27	\$	759.51
CDBG	36	Ċ	4		4	
Community Development	26	\$ -	\$	-	\$	<u>-</u>
		٠	ڔ		ڔ	
Totals		\$ 114,365.37	\$	646,093.74	\$	760,458.51
Cl	erk:	Approved Expenses / V			5-4	94
		General		119,469.20		
		Highway St Lighting		132,092.50 4,051.45		
	ВІ	anchard Ave-Butler Crk		4,031.43		
		Fire Protection		477,000.00		
		WESD		2,045.30		
		WSSD		617.99		
		SSD	\$	1,139.95		
		WWD	\$	3,141.87		
		PWD		18,078.63		
		SSWD		2,062.11		
		T&A		759.51		
		CDBG		-		
			\$	760,459.11		